

Examination: 5027
Economics III
Introduction to Econometrics
Winter Semester 2005 / 06
Dr. John E. Brennan

You are allowed to use a non-programmable calculator (in accordance with the instructions given by the examination office) and a translating dictionary from your native language to English (without any notes written into it). **All** of the **ten** (10) examination questions must be answered (the estimated time to spend on each question is given). This examination consists of **four** (4) pages and must be completed within 120 minutes.

Answers that are NOT presented in a NEAT and ORDERLY manner
that is easy to read will NOT be graded.

All calculations should be rounded to four places following the
decimal point (e.g., 15.6429)

Question 1 (10 Minutes)

Consider an annual time-series sample consisting of the 34 observed values of the random variables Y , X , and W : (y_t, x_t, w_t) for $t = 1972, 1973, \dots, 2005$. An estimate of the correlation coefficient, $\hat{\epsilon}$, between the univariate conditional random variables $(Y | X_t = x_t, W_t = w_t)$ and $(Y | X_{t-1} = x_{t-1}, W_{t-1} = w_{t-1})$ can be obtained from the Durbin-Watson d statistic:

$$d = 2(1 - \hat{\epsilon}).$$

- a. Explain in detail all the steps necessary to calculate the Durbin-Watson statistic, d , using this sample data.
- b. After completing your work in part (a) of this question, you obtained the Durbin-Watson statistic, $d = 2.7135$, with $n = 34$, $k' = 2$, $d_L = 1.333$ and $d_U = 1.580$. Perform the Durbin-Watson test and report your result.
- c. Explain WHY the Durbin-Watson d statistic is a number near 2.0 when null hypothesis is not rejected. Why does $0 \leq d \leq 4.0$?

Question 2 (10 Minutes)

Given a certain bivariate population with continuous random variables X and Y , where:

$$E(Y) = 3.409, V(Y) = 0.8314, E(X) = 2.076, V(X) = 0.5532, \text{ and } C(X, Y) = -0.5216.$$

When the choice of predictors, c , is confined to linear functions of $X=x$, $c = h(x)$, then either the BLP or the BPP could be used to predict the value of Y .

- a. Using the BLP, what is the best MSE prediction of Y given that $X = 4.12$?
- b. Using the BPP, what is the best MSE prediction of Y given that $X = 4.12$?
- c. Do both the BLP and the BPP produce a prediction, c , where $c = E(Y)$, when the value of the random variable $X = x$, is equal to: $x = E(X)$? Explain your answer in detail.
- d. Which of these two predictions, the BLP or the BPP, do you think produces a value that is the closest to the CEF? Explain your answer.

Please turn to Page 2

Question 3 (15 Minutes)

Two estimation problems, that are often prevalent in economic data, can cause severe problems when interpreting the results of the BLP estimated coefficients. These problems are heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation.

- Explain what heteroscedasticity is and exactly the kind of problems it causes with the OLS estimated $(k \times 1)$ vector c ? In what kind of data is it likely to appear?
- Give a description of a GLS procedure to correct this problem.
- What are the consequences of autocorrelation for the OLS estimate vector c ? What kind of data is likely to have this problem and why?
- Define and explain why the First-Order Autoregressive Process, AR(1), allows the implementation of GLS as a solution to this problem.

Question 4 (10 Minutes)

In order to complete their work for a baccalaureate degree in the English language program of the Faculty of Economics and Management, 92% of the students complete the course *Principles of Economics I* and 38% of the students take the course *Introduction to Econometrics* while here in Magdeburg (the others either get credit for taking equivalent courses elsewhere or do not take the courses). A recent survey found that 13% of the students who take the econometrics course here in Magdeburg had not taken the course *Principles of Economics I* here in our faculty's study program.

- What is the percentage of students who take both courses here in Magdeburg?
- Are the decisions to take these two courses made independently by students?
- Considering the group of students who do not take the econometrics course here in Magdeburg, what is your "best" prediction regarding the probability that they take the course *Principles of Economics I* here in Magdeburg?

Question 5 (10 Minutes)

The Linear Probability Model states that in the population:

$E(Y | X = x_i, W = w_i) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 x_i + \beta_3 w_i = p_i$ and $V(Y | X = x_i, W = w_i) = p_i(1 - p_i)$ where $p_i = \Pr(Y = 1 | X = x_i, W = w_i)$. The dichotomous random variable Y signifies home ownership by married couples, the continuous random variable X measures total household income, and the dummy variable W is equal to one if either (or both) the man or the wife has a university degree.

- Critically discuss this model and any estimation problems that might be involved.
- Is it likely that autocorrelation is present due to the inclusion of two qualitative variables in this model?
- What is multicollinearity and is it a problem with probability models in general?
- Explain how to estimate this model specification as a Logit Model.

Please turn to Page 3

Question 6 (20 Minutes)

Given a sample of 47 quarterly observations ($y_t, x_{t2}, x_{t3}, x_{t4}$) for $t = 1, 2, \dots, 47$. The following matrices were calculated [assume for all t , $V(Y | X_{t2}=x_{t2}, X_{t3}=x_{t3}, X_{t4}=x_{t4}) = \sigma^2_{Y|X}$]:

$$(\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X})^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 35.2468 & -0.0225 & 0.0936 & 1.3367 \\ -0.0225 & 0.00156 & -0.00134 & 1.1462 \\ 0.0936 & -0.00134 & 0.00143 & -0.00862 \\ 1.3367 & 1.1462 & -0.00862 & 0.05403 \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} 27.11 \\ 6.12 \\ 68.14 \\ 21.11 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s=2}^{47} [(y_s - c_1 - c_2 x_{s2} - c_3 x_{s3} - c_4 x_{s4})(y_{s-1} - c_1 - c_2 x_{s-12} - c_3 x_{s-13} - c_4 x_{s-14})] &= -203647.55 \\ \sum_{t=1}^{47} [(y_t - c_1 - c_2 x_{t2} - c_3 x_{t3} - c_4 x_{t4})(y_t - c_1 - c_2 x_{t2} - c_3 x_{t3} - c_4 x_{t4})] &= 533108.78 \\ \sum_{s=2}^{47} [(y_s - c_1 - c_2 x_{s2} - c_3 x_{s3} - c_4 x_{s4})(y_{s-1} - c_1 - c_2 x_{s-12} - c_3 x_{s-13} - c_4 x_{s-14})]^2 &= 1.3755E10 \\ \sum_{s=2}^{47} [(y_s - c_1 - c_2 x_{s2} - c_3 x_{s3} - c_4 x_{s4}) - (y_{s-1} - c_1 - c_2 x_{s-12} - c_3 x_{s-13} - c_4 x_{s-14})]^2 &= 1482042.41 \\ \sum_{s=2}^{47} [(y_t - c_1 - c_2 x_{t2} - c_3 x_{t3} - c_4 x_{t4}) - (y_{t-1} - c_1 - c_2 x_{t-12} - c_3 x_{t-13} - c_4 x_{t-14})] &= 1217.39 \end{aligned}$$

- Calculate the values in the (4 x 1) vector \mathbf{c} .
- Given that $\omega = 0.05$, $t_{(43)} = 2.017$, can you accept the null hypothesis that in the population $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0$?
- Calculate an estimate of the correlation coefficient by two different methods, $\rho^{\wedge} = \text{Corr} [(Y | X_{t2}=x_{t2}, X_{t3}=x_{t3}, X_{t4}=x_{t4}), (Y | X_{t-12}=x_{t-12}, X_{t-13}=x_{t-13}, X_{t-14}=x_{t-14})]$

Question 7 (10 Minutes)

Consider a cross-sectional sample of 40 observations where the random variable Y is average weekly expenditure on food and the random variable X is average household weekly income. The sample was divided in the middle according to the value of the explanatory variable:

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\mathbf{X}_A' \mathbf{X}_A) &= 50353.5731 \\ (\mathbf{X}_A' \mathbf{X}_A)^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 61908.51/\det & -1089.87/\det \\ -1089.87/\det & 20/\det \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{X}_A' \mathbf{y}_A = \begin{bmatrix} 406.68 \\ 22992.44 \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_i (y_{Ai} - c_{A1} - c_{A2} x_{Ai})^2 &= 402.785 \\ \det(\mathbf{X}_B' \mathbf{X}_B) &= 68707.8771 \\ (\mathbf{X}_B' \mathbf{X}_B)^{-1} &= \begin{bmatrix} 148297.72/\det & -1702.13/\det \\ -1702.13/\det & 20/\det \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{X}_B' \mathbf{y}_B = \begin{bmatrix} 537.1 \\ 46442.60 \end{bmatrix} \\ \sum_i (y_{Bi} - c_{B1} - c_{B2} x_{Bi})^2 &= 1348.80 \end{aligned}$$

- The Goldfeld-Quandt Test is based on an F-test with $[(n/2) - k]$ df in both the numerator and denominator. Explain the null hypothesis for this test.
- Given a 5% critical value of 2.22 for an F with 18 df, conduct the Goldfeld-Quandt Test on the sample of 40 observations given in this question. Report your results and explain what you have learned from this test.

Please turn to Page 4

Question 8 (15 Minutes)

Assume that the bivariate random vector (X, Y) has a joint pmf or pdf $f(x, y)$. In the "pure" heteroscedastic case the $(n \times n)$ variance covariance matrix of the n univariate conditional random variables, $(Y | X = x_i)$, cannot be written as: $\sigma^2_{Y|X} \mathbf{I}$

- d. Draw a picture of the $(n \times n)$ Var-Cov $(Y | \mathbf{X})$ matrix and discuss why the $\text{Cov} [(Y | X = x_i), (Y | X = x_j)]$ when $i \neq j$ cannot be replaced with the correlation coefficients.
- e. The GLS estimator can be written as: $\mathbf{c}_{\text{GLS}} = (\mathbf{X}'^* \mathbf{X}^*)^{-1} \mathbf{X}'^* \mathbf{y}^*$. The estimator can also be written as $(\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{P}' \mathbf{P} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{P}' \mathbf{P} \mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{V}^{-1} \mathbf{y}$. Explain why these expressions are equivalent.
- f. Given a sample of size n from a bivariate population where the values of the n conditional variances are known, $\text{Cov} [(Y | X = x_i), (Y | X = x_j)]$. Outline the GLS estimation procedure to be used on this sample. What are the properties of the GLS estimator, \mathbf{C}_{GLS} .

Question 9 (10 Minutes)

Given the 1027 observations from the University of Michigan study regarding household savings behavior. Assume that the random variable Y is used to measure the savings rate and X the household income: $(X, Y) \sim \text{Bivariate Normal}$. It is known that in Michigan, as a matter of good banking practice, it is impossible to borrow more than $1/3$ of your current income. It is also deemed highly unlikely that a family could save more than $2/3$ of their current income. Estimate the sample BLP using this data set.

- a. Explain in detail the properties of the estimators obtained.
- b. Explain the difference in this case between the use of OLS and GLS.

Question 10 (10 Minutes)

An often-used measure of "goodness of fit" in a sample is called the coefficient of determination, R^2 .

- a. What is the difference between VARIATION and VARIANCE?
- b. Explain the situation when $R^2 = 1.0$.
- c. Explain the situation when $R^2 = 0.0$.
- d. Explain why R^2 should not be used when the sample estimate of the BPP has been calculated.

This is the end of the examination.

GOOD LUCK !!