

Final Examination: 20298  
**Advanced Methods in International Marketing**  
 Winter Semester 2012 / 2013  
 Dr. John E. Brennan

You are allowed to use a non-programmable calculator (in accordance with the instructions given by the examination office) and a translating dictionary from your native language to English (without any notes written into it). **All** of the **six** (6) examination questions must be answered. This examination consists of **three** (3) pages and must be completed within 60 minutes.

**Question 1: NOTE:** This problem **MUST** be solved using the table approach presented in this lecture course. Other solution methods will not be accepted.

The strategic planning department of a major oil company in London is studying the relationship between crude oil prices and the political situation in the Middle East. The discrete stochastic variable  $Y = y_j, j = 1, 2$ , indicates the crude oil price level,  $y_1 =$  high and  $y_2 =$  moderate. The discrete stochastic variable  $X = x_i, i = 1, 2$ , indicates the political climate,  $x_1 =$  war and  $x_2 =$  peace. The likelihood of high crude oil prices in the future is considered to be 40%. If a war does happen, then there will be a 75% chance of high oil prices. If moderate oil prices occur, then the likelihood of a peaceful political climate is thought to be 95%.

		Situation		
		X		
		War	Peace	
Y	High			
	Moderate			
				1.0

Two Scenarios ( $X   Y = y_j$ )			
		X	
		War	Peace
			1.0
			1.0

Bayesian Multiple Table

Two Scenarios ( $Y   X = x_i$ )			
Y	High		
	Moderate		
		1.0	1.0

		X	
		War	Peace
Y	High		
	Moderate		

- a. What is the likelihood of a situation where there is a high oil price and the political situation is that of peace?
- b. Considering the peace scenario, what is the likelihood of moderate oil prices?
- c. Under the high oil price scenario, what is the likelihood of war?
- d. Calculate the number in the Bayesian Multiple Table for  $X = x_1$  and  $Y = y_1$ .

Please turn to page 2

**Question 2:** Bayes' Theorem provides a logical framework for analyzing the human thought process and shows the usefulness of information in the assessment of future outcomes.

- $\Pr(Y = y_j | X = x_i) = \delta \Pr(Y = y_j)$ , where  $\delta = \Pr(X = x_i | Y = y_j) / \Pr(X = x_i)$ .
- Using Question 1 as an example: What are the two prior probabilities?
  - Again using Question 1: What are the posterior probabilities for  $X = x_1$ ?
  - Explain in detail when the Bayesian Multiple is equal to one,  $\delta = 1$ .

**Question 3:** Below is the situation for a pair of stochastic concepts  $Y = y_j, j = 1, 2, 3$ , and  $X = x_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ . This situation has nine (9) possibilities and the numbers in the matrix indicate the likelihood of these occurrences.

<b>Y \ X</b>	<b><math>x_1 = 1.5</math></b>	<b><math>x_2 = 3.5</math></b>	<b><math>x_3 = 5.5</math></b>
<b><math>y_1 = 0.4</math></b>	0.045	0.080	0.045
<b><math>y_2 = 0.6</math></b>	0.120	0.120	0.120
<b><math>y_3 = 0.8</math></b>	0.085	0.300	0.085

- Compute  $E(Y)$ .
- Compute  $E(X | Y = y_2)$ .
- Compute  $C(X, Y)$ .
- Are the concepts  $X$  and  $Y$  stochastically independent?

**Question 4:** When the range of a stochastic variable,  $Y = y_j$ , where  $y_j \geq a$ , is restricted, we say that the random variable is truncated at point  $a$ . Consider a discrete random variable,  $Y = y_j, j = 1, 2, 3$ .

<b><math>Y = y_j</math></b>	<b><math>y_1 = 2</math></b>	<b><math>y_2 = 4</math></b>	<b><math>y_3 = 6</math></b>	<b><math>y_4 = 8</math></b>
<b>Likelihood</b>	1/4	1/4	1/4	1/4

- If this stochastic variable is truncated at  $a = 4$  (therefore,  $y_j > 4$ ), what are the truncated probabilities that  $y_1 = 2$  and that  $y_4 = 8$ ?
- Compute the un-truncated  $E(Y)$ .
- Compute the truncated  $E(Y | Y = y_j > 4)$ .
- Compute the truncated variance  $V(Y | Y = y_j > 4)$ .

Please turn to page 3

**Question 5:** A negative number can be considered to be a distance to the left from zero.

- Is it possible to have a negative covariance? If so, what would it indicate?
- Is it possible to have a negative variance? If so, what would it indicate?
- Is it possible to have a negative skew coefficient? If so, what would it indicate?

**Question 6:** Consider a student who is applying for admission at two German universities: the O-v-G-Universität Magdeburg,  $M = m_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , with  $m_1 = \text{Accepted}$  and  $m_2 = \text{Rejected}$ , and at the Martin Luther Universität Halle,  $H = h_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , where  $h_1 = \text{Accepted}$  and  $h_2 = \text{Rejected}$ . The student believes that there is a likelihood of 60% of being accepted in Halle. There is a 30% likelihood of being accepted in Magdeburg. Given that he/she is rejected by Halle, there is an 80% chance of being rejected by Magdeburg.

		M		
		Accept	Reject	$f_2(h_j)$
H	Accept			
	Reject			
	$f_1(m_i)$			1.0

Conditional ( $H | M = m_i$ )

		M	
		Accept	Reject
H	Accept		
	Reject		
		1.0	1.0

Conditional ( $M | H = h_j$ )

		M		
		Accept	Reject	
H	Accept			1.0
	Reject			1.0

Bayesian Multiple Table

		M	
		Accept	Reject
H	Accept		
	Reject		

- Given that the student has been accepted in Magdeburg, What is the probability that this student will be rejected in Halle?
- What is the likelihood of an acceptance at both universities?
- Is the event "accepted in Magdeburg" independent from the event "accepted in Halle"? Explain your answer.

**This is the End of the Examination  
GOOD LUCK !**

